



TOWN OF HAMDEN, CONNECTICUT TRAFFIC AUTHORITY

Hamden Government Center
2750 Dixwell Avenue
Hamden, Connecticut 06518
Tel: 203287-7070
Fax: (203) 287-7075

Minutes: The Traffic Authority, Town of Hamden, held a regular meeting on Monday, February 8, 2021 at 5:30 p.m. via Zoom Meeting. The Zoom meeting recording link and passcode are below for viewing. The following topics were discussed:

Please click the link below to view the Traffic Authority meeting recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/iqKrPzgE4THIji5_IoXbuhgoqqDzaOhvdpabcK_Ig2GNIHQ6hrWUsSQIHk9lPCWE.ljbqJo9uXgndwaba

Password: y@7zP!!h

Commissioners in Attendance:

Michael Iezzi, Chairman
Cherlyn Poindexter
Mitchell Strickland
Larry Esposito

Staff in attendance:

Nancy Forvil, Clerk

Others in attendance:

Chief of Police, John Sullivan
Deputy Chief of Police, Bo Kicak
Jim Mesner, Traffic Technician

Guest speakers in attendance:

Mark Austin, Hamden Engineering Director
Kathleen Schomaker, Hamden Energy Efficiency Coordinator

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman, Michael Iezzi called the meeting to order at 5:30 P.M. A roll call was conducted by the Commission Clerk, Nancy Forvil. Chairman, Michael Iezzi, Commissioners Cherlyn Poindexter, Larry Esposito and Mitchel Strickland were present. Chief of Police, John Sullivan, Deputy Chief of Police, Bo Kicak, Hamden Traffic Engineer, Jim Mesner, Mark Austin, Hamden Engineering Director and Kathleen Schomaker, Hamden Energy Efficiency Coordinator were also present.

2. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES OF MEETING DECEMBER 14, 2020

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to approve the minutes of December 14, 2020. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON AGENDA ACTION ITEMS ONLY

1. Mr. Wenyu, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street and will be a waste of tax paper dollars to install a speed hump.
2. Mark Hu, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street and will be a waste of tax paper dollars to install a speed hump.
3. Shusheng Hu, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street and will be a waste of tax paper dollars to install a speed hump.
4. James Vandergriff, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street.
5. Mr. Valorant, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street.
6. Will Full, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street.
7. William Fullingham Jr., Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street.
8. Ryan Schatz, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street.
9. Jason Canty, 74 Haverford Street, Hamden, CT- Resident supports the speed hump request on Haverford Street. Resident believes motorist are traveling at excessive speeds and having a speed hump installed would assist with speeding concerns in Haverford Street.

10. Richard Paul, 69 Haverford Street, Hamden, CT- Resident supports the speed hump request on Haverford Street. Resident believes there is an increase in pedestrian foot traffic on sidewalks. A speed hump installation would assist with speeding concerns in Haverford Street.
11. Ricky Guillot, 50 Haverford Street, Hamden, CT- Resident supports the speed hump request on Haverford Street. Resident believes there is an increase in pedestrian foot traffic on sidewalks. A speed hump installation would assist with speeding concerns in Haverford Street.
12. Yuxian Kang, Hamden, CT- Resident does not support the speed hump request on Haverford Street. The resident resides on Haverford Street and believes there is no speeding issues on Haverford Street.
13. Sarah Clark, 187 Haverford Street, Hamden, CT- Resident interested in Mark Austin, Engineering Director to discuss federal grant that were awarded to New Haven and Hamden for installations of raised crossing along the Farmington Canal.
14. Ryan Otts, 83 Haverford Street, Hamden, CT- Resident has concerns regarding the legitimacy of the residents who claim they reside on Haverford Street and are opposing the speed hump request on Haverford Street.

4. CORRESPONDENCE

1. Justine Bittle Ford - Request for the Installation of a Speed Hump on Helen Street, at the corner of Helen Street & Fairview Avenue.

Chief, John Sullivan Response: Both roadways are major collectors and are both on the emergency street list in Hamden. Additional calming measures are being considered. Chief has reviewed the UConn Traffic Study for the area and there was a reported 12 motor vehicle crashes in the area. Speed control measures and signage will be reviewed by the traffic technician. A report will be ready by the March 2021 Traffic Authority meeting.

2. Justin Bittle Ford - Application for Handicapped Parking Space at 171 Helen Street.

Chief, John Sullivan Response: The Traffic Technician reviewed the area for the Handicapped Parking Space and believes the handicap request warrants an approval.

3. Ron Shea – request installation of Stop Signs at Warren Street (westbound) and Dix Street (eastbound) and to reinstall back the “No Parking This Side” signs down the north side of North Street that were blown away by storm.

Chief, John Sullivan Response: The Traffic Technician will inspect the area and review alternative traffic calming measures for the area. A report will be ready for the March 2021 Traffic Authority meeting.

5. DEPARTMENT REPORT

a. Review of Department Activities

Continuing with enforcement program selective enforcement were conducted by the traffic and patrol units

Streets	Selective enforcement
Westerfield Road	14
Woodin Street	2
Pine Rock Avenue	16
Brook and Wintergreen	2
Brook Street	2
Wintergreen	1
Winchester Avenue	1
Cherry Ann Street	1
Augur Street	5
Village Circle	1
Pine Rock Avenue (near Gilbert)	16
Gilbert	3
Whitney Avenue & Waite Street	12
Waite	5
Whitney Avenue	23
Mary Knoll	1
Helen Street	1
West Todd	9
Todd Street (near Canal line)	6
Fairview	2
West Todd (end of Shepard)	3
Tuttle Avenue	8

6. NEW BUSINESS (ACTION ITEM)

- a) Kathleen Schomaker, 37 Hepburn Road, 06517. Energy Efficiency Coordinator, Town of Hamden. Writing on behalf of the Hamden Electric Vehicle Readiness Program and the topic of EQUITY of Access protocols. Request to have information regarding the program read into the Proceedings. Also asking for other methods to introduce the programs to the Traffic Authority. Also, will be proposing parking locations and enforcement protocols for Electric Vehicles at some point in 2020.

(Presentation materials will be attached to the minutes supporting documents for public review.) Ms. Schomaker presented materials on the Municipal Electric Vehicle Readiness program and touched base on funding options from the Volks Wagen Emissions Settlement that could help combat air pollution/emissions in Hamden. Materials on the Hamden Parking and

Enforcement Readiness Program for Electric Vehicles were also discussed and will be added for public review.

- b) Michel Rieger, 315 Davis Street, 06517. Reports “increased truck and bus traffic on Davis Street,” including tractor trailers, CT Transit Buses, Pepsi Cola trucks, etc. Also concern about unsafe roadway conditions, deteriorating surfaces, narrow roadway. Asking if signage would help to reduce traffic, especially truck traffic. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Chief, John Sullivan Response: Town Attorney Sue Gruen supports the Traffic Authority to approach the legislative council to declare a weight restriction on the Davis Street bridge. The Traffic Authority will conduct additional research through the Department of Transportation, UConn crash study and the Hamden Plains study. Research and report will be presented in the near future.

- c) Joseph Mikula, 124 Brook Street, 06514. Reports speeding cars and trucks, including DPW trucks. Notes that the Speed Limit is 30 MPH; however, this speed limit is never enforced. Requesting Stop Sign at Wintergreen Avenue and Brook Street, with installation on Brook Street in both directions. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Chief, John Sullivan Response: Chief does not recommend a stop sign at Wintergreen Avenue because it is a minor arterial. Brook Street is a major collector and is on the emergency street list.

Commissioner, Cherlyn Poindexter made a motion to deny the request to install the Stop Sign at Wintergreen Avenue and Brook Street based on Chief Sullivan’s recommendation. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- d) Mike Carbray, 90 West Todd Street, 06518. Reporting the excessive speeding and increased volume of traffic on West Todd Street. Seeing vehicles traveling at a speed rate of 50 and 60 MPH on a street with a MPH set at 25 MPH. Requesting the installation of speed limit signs as there are none in the area. Requesting the “lighten speed signage” previously posted on Hillfield Road. Also inquiring about the “accident rate.” **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Commissioner, Cherlyn Poindexter made a motion to approve the request for the installation of speed limit signs and the request for the “lighten speed signage” previously posted on Hillfield Road. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- e) Susan K. White, 89 Killdeer Road, 06517. Requesting a crosswalk or bike crossing, or “even better,” a pedestrian signal either on Davis Street at the Ridge Road entrance to East Rock Park or at Hartford Turnpike. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to table the request for a crosswalk or bike crossing, or a pedestrian signal either on Davis Street at the Ridge Road entrance to East Rock Park or at Hartford Turnpike (until for further review and evaluation by the Traffic Technician). Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- f) Traffic Department. Requesting “Merge Left” signage on the NB side of Dixwell Avenue in the vicinity of 2460 Dixwell Avenue (Tommy’s Tanning Plaza). On December 14th Chief Sullivan confirmed that Dixwell Avenue is a state road and will contact the State on the next steps for requested signage. December 14th was table pending OSTA response. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to close the Traffic Department request for “Merge Left” signage on the NB side of Dixwell Avenue in the vicinity of 2460 Dixwell Avenue (Tommy’s Tanning Plaza) unless Chief Sullivan has any additional information to add to the request. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- g) Ryan Ott, 83 Haverford Street, 06517. Requesting Traffic Calming and Speed Humps on Haverford Street between Lansdowne Street and Whitney Avenue. December 14th Chief Sullivan confirmed that there was a speed hump already installed in 2019 on Haverford Street and will have the Traffic Department inspect to see if another speed hump is necessary to be installed on Haverford Street between Lansdowne Street and Whitney Avenue. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to Table the request for Traffic Calming and Speed Humps on Haverford Street between Lansdowne Street and Whitney Avenue (until further review and evaluation by the Traffic Technician). Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- h) See Click Fix #924085 - Resident is requesting signage notifying drivers of oncoming traffic, currently there is not a stop sign at the corner of Gilbert Ave and Benham Street, Hamden, CT. **(TA ACTION ITEM)**

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to approve the request for a stop sign installation at the corner of Gilbert Ave and Benham Street, Hamden, CT. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

7. OLD BUSINESS (ACTION ITEM)

- a) Roslyn Campbell, 264 Broadway, 06518 – Reports that “Most drivers speed and seem annoyed that we’re slowing them down to turn in or out of my driveway (honk horn/hand gestures). Requesting Radar Speed Monitoring Device, Periodic enforcement. Review for a Speed Hump to determine if that will help. **(UPDATE FROM LTA) (TA ACTION ITEM)**

Chief Sullivan's Response: The Traffic Technician will look into if a "hidden driveway" sign can be warranted. Radar Speed Monitoring Device have already been installed in the area.

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to deny the request for a speed hump on Broadway, due to being a state road and classified as a minor arterial. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- b) Myla J. Wilson, 30 Penn Street, 06514 – Reports traffic issues at the Oakwood Child Care Center, 495 Benham Street. Requesting the installation of a Raised Crosswalk and/or a Speed Hump. Also reporting that vehicles do not stop at the "Stop Sign" at Harrison Drive and Vantage Road. Requesting Traffic Calming at these locations. Chief Sullivan confirmed that Benham Street is ineligible for a speed hump due to being a major collector and recommends denying the request for a speed hump. On December 14th Chief Sullivan stated will contact the OSTA to get an approval for a raised side walk. This will be determined by funding and budget. Periodic enforcement and large stop signs will be considered for the area. Benham Street will be added to the traffic enforcement list. **December 14th a motion to Table the speed hump request on Benham Street. (UPDATE FROM LTA) (TA ACTION ITEM)**

Chief Sullivan's Response: Chief Sullivan recommends that the speed hump request be denied on Benham Street due to being classified as a major collector. The Traffic Authority will reach out to the Department of Transportation to inquire about the potential installation of a raised side walk.

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to deny the request for a speed hump on Benham, due to being due to being classified as a major collector and will table the request for a raised side walk. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- c) Karlen Meinsen, Hamden Traffic Collaborative Committee – Correspondence to share feedback on behalf of the Hamden Traffic Collaborative Committee and to relay other requests. Reports dangerous speeding on Whitney Avenue from New Haven border to Skiff Street, including in front of 4 schools. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Chief Sullivan's Response: The draft of the Hamden Traffic Calming policy has been completed and to standardize the methods on how the Traffic Authority receives, reviews, research and analyze traffic calming measures and installations. The draft will be reviewed by Chief Sullivan and the Traffic Engineer before presenting to the Traffic Authority for review. Additional information and on the road diets will presented at the March 2021 Traffic Authority meeting and will also discuss the approval and endorsement letter for the Road Diet.

- d) Kelly Branin, 147 Ardmore Street, 06517 – Correspondence regarding requested updates on the following: **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**
- The results of the School Zone Study that was completed in June 2018.
 - The Traffic Study on Spring Glen portion of Whitney Avenue.
 - Speed monitoring devices on Whitney.

- Update school zone signs and flashing lights.
- The request for more traffic enforcement.
- Red-light running cameras. The Chief reported that Red Light Running Cameras are illegal in CT.

Chief Sullivan's Response: Signs have been ordered and received for the implementation of the school zone study. Signs will be installed as soon as the bright channel strips and u-channel posts to come in. Schools on Dixwell and Whitney Avenue along with schools that cater to disabled students will have higher priority due to higher volumes of motor vehicle and pedestrian traffic. Hamden High, Hamden Middle School, Hamden Hall School and St. Rita's Elementary School are all on the priority list.

- e) Mark Austin, Town Engineer- follow-up regarding funding traffic improvements. Mark Austin made a presentation on secured and potential funding opportunities for the Town and Traffic Use. The slide presentation is attached the Minutes supporting documents for public viewing.
- f) Jonathan Corillo, 1580 Whitney Avenue and the CT DOT – Request for a potential Road Diet on Whitney Avenue through Spring Glen (Route 707) be considered. The Police Chief has formed a team to investigate this CT DOT statewide program. December 14th a motion to table was made. **(TA ACTION ITEM)**

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to Table the request for a potential Road Diet on Whitney Avenue through Spring Glen (Route 707) be considered. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- g) Karlen Meisen - Follow-up on correspondence to the Traffic Authority. Police Chief John Sullivan believes that there is a lot of useful information within the policy to help guide the Traffic Authority. The policy can be reviewed and presented to the Traffic Authority and implement some of the policies into the TA's existing policies. This item was **TABLED** at the November 9 Meeting, to implement policies within the TA's Existing policies, pending further review. December 14th a motion to table was made. **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Chief Sullivan's Response: The draft of the Hamden Traffic Calming policy has been completed and to standardize the methods on how the Traffic Authority receives, reviews, research and analyze traffic calming measures and installations. The draft will be reviewed by Chief Sullivan and the Traffic Engineer before presenting to the Traffic Authority for review. Additional information and on the road diets will presented at the March 2021 Traffic Authority meeting and will also discuss the approval and endorsement letter for the Road Diet.

- h) Todd Street - Request "No Thru Trucks" sign. Denied by CT OSTA based on criteria for establishing a "No Thru Trucks" designation. STATUS: Police Chief, John Sullivan will be sending a second letter to the state to request a "No Thru Trucks" sign designation through a different avenue. This item was **TABLED** at the November 9 Meeting. The Office of State Traffic Administration has denied the installation of a "No Thru Trucks" sign and suggested that the Traffic Authority consider making a petition for "Weight Limit Restriction" signage. "Weight Limit Restriction" signage **(UPDATE FROM LTA)**

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to Table the request for “No Thru Trucks” sign designation on Todd Street. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

- i) **Speed Hump Petition Initiative** To date, my office has sent 261 Speed Hump Petition letters to the residents of 8 roadways. Responses are sporadic due, I believe, to the combination of the Christmas holidays, the New Year celebration, and the Dr. Martin Luther King commemoration. COVID 19 continues to curtail contacts with neighbors, and this situation limits returns. Although our procedures allow for a response time of 45 days from the mailing date, I am recommending that we add several additional weeks to the return period for Petitions.

To call the Community’s attention to the extension, I suggest that the extension date of all Petitions be extended March 31, and that this information be highlighted in the Minutes of this Meeting.

Commissioner, Mitchell Strickland made a motion to approve the request of all Petitions for Speed Hump Petition Initiatives be extended until March 31, 2021. Commissioner, Larry Esposito seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor.

TA SPEED HUMPS - PETITIONS PENDING					
	REQUESTOR			PETITION	
	Last Name	First Name	Location	Date	#
1	Cuomo	Anthony	Marne Street	TABLED - REVIEW	
2	Lees	Nicole	Village Circle	11/16/2020	32
3	Oliver	Tyrone	Cherry Ann Street	1/26/2021	50
4	Smith	Lori	Vantage Road and Benham Street	1/11/2021	113
5	Wethington	Nethsia	North Street	1/5/2021	50
6	Wilson-Peters	Evelyn	Westerfield Road	1/26/2021	16

8. EXECUTIVE SESSION
(There were no Executive Sessions)

9. ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner, Larry Esposito made a motion to adjourn the December 14, 2020 meeting. Commissioner, Cheryl Poindexter seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor. The meeting adjourned at 7:33 PM

From: [Ghostrider19349](#)
To: [hta_Traffic](#)
Subject: Caution: This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Speed hump
Date: Sunday, January 24, 2021 20:54:48
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello HTA, I live near Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because it's not needed. There is no speeding on lower Haverford street anyway. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding?

From: [James Vandergriff](#)
To: [hta Traffic](#)
Subject: Caution: This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Speed Hump Project
Date: Sunday, January 24, 2021 21:05:27
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello HTA, I live near Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because it's not needed. There is no speeding on lower Haverford street anyway. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding? Thanks,

From: [Jason Canty](#)
To: [hta.Traffic](#)
Cc: ryanott24@gmail.com
Subject: Caution: This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Haverford Street Speed Bump
Date: Thursday, January 21, 2021 14:49:41
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello Hamden TA,

I have been made aware by my neighbor Ryan Ott, copied here, that he is asking you to consider adding a speed bump on lower Haverford Street. I live at 74 Haverford Street and I completely agree with Ryan. We see cars traveling at excessive speeds quite often. A speed bump added on lower Haverford Street would be helpful in getting people to reduce their speed as they travel on our street.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Jason Canty

From: [Mark Hu](#)
To: [hta Traffice](#); [Soraya Antonini](#)
Subject: Caution: This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Speed Hump Project
Date: Sunday, January 24, 2021 21:08:43
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello, I live on lower Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because it's not needed. In all of my days living on lower Haverford street, I have never seen one person speeding. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding? I view the project as a future waste of tax money. Thanks,

From: [RICKY GUILLOT](#)
To: [hta Traffic](#)
Cc: [Soraya Antonini](#)
Subject: Traffic Calming on Haverford St
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 19:17:01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hamden Traffic Authority,

I am a home owner at 50 Haverford St. I applaud the efforts taken on upper Haverford St. to slow traffic in this neighborhood. I respectfully request these measures be implemented on lower Haverford St. One would think natural calming measures such as a curve in the street would slow traffic but it does not. There are cross avenue streets that are designed to allow traffic to safely move from Whitney Ave. to Ridge Rd. and State Street. I am concerned fo the risk of pedestrian incidents at the entrance to the green space north of the Bank of America.

Respectfully submitted

Ricky Guillot

50 Haverford St.
Hamden CT, 06517

From: [Richard Paul](#)
To: [hta Traffic](#)
Subject: Caution: This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Haverford st. speed hump
Date: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 11:54:56
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hi, I live at 69 Haverford st and would support a speed hump placed in the area.. there is a lot of foot traffic on the sidewalks and cars seem to be going by quickly especially around the corner and I think that a speed hump would slow them down,, There is also a lot of young children living in the area and using the street and sidewalk thank you
Richard Paul

From: [Ryan Schatz](#)
To: [hta Traffic](#)
Subject: Caution: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe
Date: Sunday, January 24, 2021 20:52:03
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello HTA,

I live near Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because it's not needed. There is no speeding on lower Haverford street anyway. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding?

From: [Valorant Valorant](#)
To: [hta Traffice](#)
Subject: Speed Hump
Date: Sunday, January 24, 2021 20:56:06

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello HTA, I live near Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because it's not needed. There is no speeding on lower Haverford street anyway. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding?

From: [Light](#)
To: [hta Traffice](#)
Cc: [Soraya Antonini](#)
Subject: Caution:This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Haverford Street Speed Hump Project
Date: Friday, January 29, 2021 17:29:15
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

I live near lower Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because I don't think that it's not needed. In all of my days living around Haverford street, I have never seen one person speeding. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding in that particular area? I view the project as a needless use of tax money.

Thanks

From: [will full](#)
To: [hta Traffice](#)
Subject: Caution: This email originated and was tagged as SPAM from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe Speed Hump
Date: Sunday, January 24, 2021 20:55:38
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello HTA, I live near Haverford St, and I was just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. I do not support building the speed hump, because it's not needed. There is no speeding on lower Haverford street anyway. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding?

From: [Yuxian Kang](#)
To: [hta Traffic](#)
Subject: Haverford St Speed Hump Project
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 13:41:21

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello HTA,

We live on Haverford St, and we were just notified of the speed hump project being proposed. My family opposes building the speed hump, because it will be pointless. There is no speeding on lower Haverford street. Why should the town build a speed hump to stop speeding, if there is no speeding? This will be another waste of tax money.

Thanks,

The Hu family

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ryan Ott](#)
To: [hta Traffice](#); [Soraya Antonini](#)
Subject: Errors in the Traffic Mtg Agenda 2/8
Date: Monday, February 08, 2021 12:26:37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open any attachments unless you recognize and contact the sender to verify the content is safe.

Hello Chief Sullivan and Mr. Iezzi,

I have reviewed the agenda for tonight's meeting and have found several errors and issues regarding the request for a speed hump on lower Haverford. I would like to share these with you before the meeting, as I believe that clarification is important before any decisions are made regarding next steps.

Thank you,

Ryan Ott

83 Haverford St.

1. The written summaries of letters from residents contain the following errors in the agenda:

- Unfortunately, the summaries of letters 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are incorrect: the clerk says that the writer in each case "resides on Haverford Street." But in the letters themselves, the writers say that they reside "near Haverford." None of them provide an address.
- Conversely, in letters 9, 10, and 11, the summaries make no mention of where the writers live. And in each case, the writer specifically says they live on Haverford (and they give their addresses).

2. There are 3 other letters that are in opposition, and all 3 come from the same family and address. I was under the impression that each household can only submit 1 letter. Including all 3 gives the false impression that there are numerous residents in opposition, when in reality, only 1 single address on Haverford St has submitted a letter in opposition.

3. Beyond those 3 from that 1 single address, there are 6 other letters in opposition - all 6 of them fail to include an address. I was under the impression that an address is required so that legitimacy can be verified. For all 6 of these letters, we cannot find any Vision Database records of these names as property owners in Hamden. Likewise, we cannot find any record of any of these 6 names in the White Pages database for Hamden residents.

4. All 6 of the un-addressed letters submitted are nearly verbatim copies of the January 19th letter submitted by the single opposing resident on Haverford St. Of course, there is nothing prohibiting submission of verbatim copies. However, none of those names include an address for where they live in Hamden, and 5 of the 6 were all sent in a ~10 minute timeframe on the same day. It certainly raises suspicion of a single person sitting at a computer and submitting verbatim opposition letters from different email accounts and intentionally omitting home addresses.



Multi-State Municipal EV Readiness Toolkit 9-Month Program

February - November 2021



Learn the steps to become EV ready

- Identify and meet with team members
- Toolkit resources, tools, and support provided
- Set and prioritize milestones and goals
- Create project schedule
- Achieve milestones and goals
- Communicate results with community
- Awards & Recognition

Info Session

February 18, 2021 1pm-2pm

- Overview of 2021 program
- Promise of the program
- Shared commitments
- Application/Enrollment process
- Access to report and case studies from 2020 Municipal EV Readiness Toolkit Program
- Participate in a program survey
- Participate in as many or few modules as you like

Orientation & Program Kick-Off

February 25, 2021 1pm-2pm

- Building your municipal EV readiness team
- Access to program materials and resources
- Access to private Facebook group
- Access to toolkit portal with course materials
- Handout for each Module to guide you through the process

March Modules

Module 1: Community Members

Tuesday, March 2nd 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 2: Municipal Fleet

Thursday, March 4th 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 3: Zoning

Tuesday, March 9th 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 4: Building & Permitting

Thursday, March 11th 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 5: Multi-Unit Dwelling Charging

Tuesday, March 16th 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 6: Public & Destination Charging

Thursday, March 18th 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 7: Parking & Enforcement

Tuesday, March 23rd 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 8: First Responders

Thursday, March 25th 1:00pm-2:00pm

Module 9: Shuttles

Tuesday, March 30th 1:00pm-2:00pm

April - November

In a Memorandum of Understanding, signed on October 24, 2013, the governors of eight states, including Connecticut, joined by a ninth on May 3, 2018 and a tenth on November 20, 2019, committed to 3.3 million zero emission vehicles by 2025. Connecticut's share is 125,000 zero emission vehicles and currently has 12,000 zero emission vehicles registered. The Municipal EV Readiness Toolkit 9 Month Program, is a program for decision makers, in various sectors of the community, that provides a framework, resources and tools to support the development of town-wide, comprehensive electrification plans to transition the vehicles in Connecticut's municipalities and communities to 30% electric by 2030.

From: [Nancy Forvil](#)
To: [hta Trafficce](#)
Subject: FW: 7 Raised Canal Crossings Greenlighted | New Haven Independent
Date: Monday, February 08, 2021 17:00:20

From: Sarah Clark <sarah.f.clark@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021 9:10 PM
To: Mark Austin <maustin@hamden.com>
Cc: Michael Iezzi <miezzi@hamdenpd.com>; John Sullivan <jsullivan@hamdenpd.com>; Valerie Horsley <valerie.j.horsley@gmail.com>; Karlen Meinsen <sgtrafficalming@gmail.com>; dschaefer@bswlaw.com
Subject: Fwd: 7 Raised Canal Crossings Greenlighted | New Haven Independent

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mr. Austin,

Hello. I hope this message finds you well.

I've just seen [the agenda](#) for this coming Monday's meeting of the Traffic Authority, and I see that you are scheduled to speak (item 7(e)). I am writing with respect to ask if you would be able to add to your presentation any information you have about the federal grants that were awarded to New Haven and Hamden for installation of raised crossings at intersections along the Farmington Canal Trail. (See articles here from the [Yale Daily News](#) and the [Independent](#).)

I believe you are the person who will be overseeing this exciting project. If I am wrong, please accept my apologies, and would you kindly direct me to the right person?

But if the project will in fact be under your supervision, I and other citizens concerned with traffic safety (who also love the Canal Trail!) are very interested in learning more, and we'd be grateful if you could share information with us at Monday's Traffic Authority meeting.

Thanks very much for considering this request, and

Kind regards,

Sarah Clark

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Traffic Authority Agenda (February 8 2021) (combined).pdf>

The background features abstract, overlapping green geometric shapes in various shades, including light lime green, medium green, and dark forest green. These shapes are primarily located on the left and right sides of the page, framing the central white area where the text is placed.

Funding Traffic Improvements

Report from the Engineering Department

To the Traffic Authority

February 8, 2021

Funding Sources

- ▶ Sidewalks
 - ▶ Walkable Sidewalk Grant
 - ▶ Community Connectivity Grant
- ▶ Roads
 - ▶ Local Transportation Capital Improvement Program (LoTCIP)
- ▶ Signals
 - ▶ Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program
- ▶ Trails
 - ▶ Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Grant
 - ▶ DEEP Trails & Greenways Program Grant (Spur Trail)

Sidewalks

- ▶ Walkable Sidewalk Grant
 - ▶ State project 61-153
 - ▶ Augur, Benham, Davis, & Treadwell
 - ▶ \$2.8 million dollars 80/20 grant
- ▶ Community Connectivity Grant
 - ▶ Unassigned project number
 - ▶ Gilbert, Lane, Brook Roads sidewalk and traffic improvements
 - ▶ \$330,000 construction
 - ▶ Internal design

Roads

- ▶ Local Transportation Capital Improvement Program (LoTCIP)
 - ▶ Waite and Mather Street bridges project - 2 bridges and sidewalks
 - ▶ Hartford Turnpike and Ridge Road Traffic Safety - complete streets
 - ▶ Morse Street Complete Streets
 - ▶ Goodrich and Newhall Street Complete Streets
 - ▶ Mix Street Complete Streets - traffic lights & traffic improvements (2 phases)

Roads

▶ Future

- ▶ FY 2024: Reconstruction of Armory Street
- ▶ FY 2025: Realign West Woods Road at rock cut
- ▶ FY 2026: Woodin Street: replace two bridges and conduct pedestrian safety work between bridges

Application made, awaiting priorities from SCRCOG

Traffic Signals

- ▶ Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program
 - ▶ Dixwell from Skiff to Route 15
 - ▶ Dixwell south of Route 15
 - ▶ Multi-year priorities after those two projects base on previous studies

Trails

- ▶ Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Grant
 - ▶ Farmington Canal Trail - Raised crosswalks: phase 1 of 3
- ▶ DEEP Trails & Greenways Program Grant
 - ▶ Spur Trail - completed
- ▶ Future projects
 - ▶ Farmington Canal Trail - Raised crosswalks: phase 2 and 3
 - ▶ Mill River Trail - 7 phases
 - ▶ Farmington Canal - Spur Trail to SCSU